

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC**

Robert Hernquist (Nevada Bar No. 10616)  
 Wells Fargo Tower, Suite 1000  
 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5980  
 Telephone: (702) 257-1483 | Facsimile: (702) 567-1568  
 Email: [RHernquist@HowardandHoward.com](mailto:RHernquist@HowardandHoward.com)

Patrick M. McCarthy (Michigan Bar No. P49100) (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 2950 S. State Street, Suite 360  
 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104-1475  
 Telephone: (734) 222-1483 | Fax: (734) 761-5957  
 Email: [PMcCarthy@HowardandHoward.com](mailto:PMcCarthy@HowardandHoward.com)

Kristopher K. Hulliberger (Michigan Bar No. P66903) (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 Christopher J. Worrel (Michigan Bar No. P75441) (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 450 West Fourth Street  
 Royal Oak, Michigan 48067-2557  
 Telephone: (248) 723-0453 | Fax: (248) 645-1568  
 Email: [KHulliberger@HowardandHoward.com](mailto:KHulliberger@HowardandHoward.com); [CWorrel@HowardandHoward.com](mailto:CWorrel@HowardandHoward.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Konami Gaming, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KONAMI GAMING, INC., a Nevada  
 corporation,  
 Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant

Case No.: 2:14-CV-01483-RFB-NJK

v.

HIGH 5 GAMES, LLC, a Delaware limited  
 liability company,  
 Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff

**JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND  
 THE JOINT STIPULATED  
 DISCOVERY PLAN AND  
 SCHEDULING ORDER AND TO  
 EXTEND TIME**

**(Third Request)**

Pursuant to Local Rules LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plaintiff Konami Gaming, Inc. (“Konami” or “Plaintiff”) and Defendant High 5 Games, LLC (“High 5” or “Defendant”) hereby stipulate for the extension of time (1) to file Konami’s Reply Claim Construction Brief, presently scheduled for October 28, 2016; (2) to file Konami’s Response to High 5’s Motion for Summary Judgment, presently scheduled for November 14, 2016; and (3) to file High 5’s Reply in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment, presently anticipated for November 21, 2016.

**WHEREAS**, the parties to this action filed a proposed Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (“Scheduling Order”) on March 13, 2015 (Docket No. 25);

1       **WHEREAS**, the Court denied the proposed Scheduling Order on March 16, 2015 (Docket  
2 No. 26);

3       **WHEREAS**, the parties filed a revised proposed Scheduling Order on March 30, 2015  
4 (Docket No. 29);

5       **WHEREAS**, the Court so ordered the Scheduling Order on April 2, 2015 (Docket No. 32);

6       **WHEREAS**, the parties stipulated to stay discovery and Claim Construction Deadlines on  
7 September 14, 2015;

8       **WHEREAS**, the Court so ordered the stay on September 18, 2015 (Docket No. 43);

9       **WHEREAS**, the parties filed a further amended proposed Scheduling Order on September  
10 22, 2015 (Docket No. 45);

11       **WHEREAS**, the Court so order the Scheduling Order on September 23, 2015 (Docket No.  
12 46);

13       **WHEREAS**, the parties stipulated for the extension of time for discovery deadlines on  
14 November 11, 2015 (Docket No. 51);

15       **WHEREAS**, the Court so order the Scheduling Order on November 12, 2015 (Docket No.  
16 52);

17       **WHEREAS**, the parties have completed disclosure of infringement and non-infringement  
18 contentions and are currently engaging in ongoing fact discovery;

19       **WHEREAS**, the claim construction process is on-going and the parties have not yet  
20 completed expert discovery;

21       **WHEREAS**, counsel for the Konami has out-of-state court hearings during the week of  
22 October 31<sup>st</sup> that requires extensive preparation this week and counsel has a trial out-of-state during  
23 the week of November 15, all of which impacts the ability to timely complete the briefing;

24       **WHEREAS**, counsel for the parties have met and conferred, recognizing the complexity  
25 of the claim construction and summary judgment issues outstanding before the Court;

26       **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between the undersigned  
27 counsel for the named parties hereto, that the Scheduling Order and the motion response deadlines  
28 shall be amended as follows:

Event	Proposed Date
Konami's Reply Claim Construction Brief (LR 1-16)	November 11, 2016
Konami's Response to High 5's Motion for Summary Judgement (Docket No. 92)	December 2, 2016
High 5's Reply in support of High 5's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 92)	December 16, 2016

**IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED** that nothing herein alters the obligations and requirements in the Scheduling Order and that this Stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD,**

Dated: October 25, 2016

Dated: October 25, 2016

By: /s/Robert Hernquist

By: /s/Robert C. Ryan

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS

HOLLAND & HART LLP

Robert Hernquist

Robert C. Ryan, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10616

Nevada Bar No. 7164

Patrick M. McCarthy

Ryan A. Loosvelt, Esq.

Michigan Bar P49100, admitted *pro hac vice*

Nevada Bar No. 8550

Kristopher K. Hulliberger

Christopher B. Hadley, Esq.

Michigan Bar P66903, admitted *pro hac vice*

(admitted *pro hac vice*)

Christopher J. Worrel

Teague I. Donahey

Michigan Bar P75441, admitted *pro hac vice*

(admitted *pro hac vice*)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Konami Gaming*

*Attorney's for Defendant High 5 Games*

**ORDER**

**PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:**

Dated: 11/7/16



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II  
United States District Judge